

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**The Use of Plant Functional Types as a
Method of Determining Plant Biodiversity
and Keystoneness on a Northern New
Zealand Isocline.**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Applied Science in Plant Science
at Massey University, New Zealand**

**WILLIAM LEE
1999**

Abstract

New Zealand vegetation, like the rest of the world, is undergoing increased perturbations due to global climate change. Whether anthropocentric in origin or part of a natural climatic cycle, increased CO₂, increased temperature plus changing regimes of precipitation have been recorded. Environmental change, especially at local levels, does affect community structure. New Zealand's ancient endemic trees and shrubs face the greatest threat of extinction, mainly due to habitat destruction by man for development and by introduced pests. The consequence could be that these trees and shrubs would not be able to migrate naturally in the time frame that climatic change will allow.

The use of Plant Functional Types in climate change research is extensive and these groupings are being used more frequently in the study of diversity response to environmental change.

The objectives of the Maunganui Bluff study were to develop a methodology to construct PFTs and to utilise these functional groupings in an analysis of the isocline. These analyses were; a diversity study based on richness and abundance, a site ordination and a group analysis. A total of forty-eight PFTs were constructed, then reduced to seventeen. The final seventeen functional groups were used in the following analyses.

1. *A diversity analysis.* While not appearing useful in comparing species evenness to PFT evenness over the isocline, the analysis did confirm that at that point in space and time when sampling was undertaken, PFTs did conform

to the assembly rule for groups. This rule states that there should be equal representation of functional groups at each site from the total available pool

2. *Ordination.* The second analysis was to determine the effect of the local environment on the spatial position of the PFTs on the isocline. Detrended Correlation Analysis (DCA), an ordination technique, was used to map the groups and the sites against environmental gradients. The results signified that a small number of groups were strongly influenced by potassium (K) but the majority of groups occupied specific sites, on an altitude – phosphorus and nitrogen gradient, due to competition for resources. This summation is supported by altitude being linked to precipitation and leaching, since most of the other environmental data, measured and analysed, were correlated to altitude.
3. *Group analysis using Indicator Species Analysis in the computer programme PCORD.* The statistical analysis highlighted three PFTs with high keystone rankings ($p > .800$), one of which was missed by a subjective analysis of the site map of PFTs distribution. When these three groups were deconstructed back to species, the membership of each group was only one species. Of these three species, only *Haloragis erecta* appeared to be out of place within the gradient. Four hundred metres in altitude is well beyond the plants recognised limit of approximately 120 metres. Since sea level to one hundred and fifty metres is the shrubland zone and site K is also, by species sampled, designated a shrubland, there is evidence that some environmental factor may be associated with these sites. Obviously, this cannot be tested, as there were no *Haloragis erecta* in the sampled sites from sea level to one hundred and fifty metres.

The analysis suffered from a lack of replication for the site under study, as well as comparative sites, to determine the validity of the methodologies. The results while encouraging only reflect a point in both space and time. The work would have needed a much larger range of environmental data, over a longer time frame to ensure that the results were not chance, and would be sustained under more detailed statistical rigor. Many of the premises that the work was based on are subjective.

However, despite the lack of statistical rigor, the study confirms the work being carried out using PFTs in other countries. New Zealand's endemic plants do have assembly rules and PFTs constructed with New Zealand natives are valid assemblages that can be used in statistical analysis, and may well turn out to be important in monitoring environmental change.

Certification

I, William Frederick Lee, certify that this thesis represents original work by me, except where acknowledged.

Acknowledgements

My sincere thanks are extended to my chief supervisor, Dr. Peter Kemp, for his valuable guidance and supervision throughout both this research and my postgraduate study. Thanks, also, go to Dr. Ian Valentine for his encouragement and suggestions for the ecological components of the thesis.

Special thanks are extended to my brother, A. J. Lee, for his guidance through the statistical analysis and to John Hastings for helping to interpret the data and outputs of the statistical analysis. To Janine McVeagh, for proof reading and help with grammatical structure. I would, also, acknowledge the contribution by Lisa Forester of the Department of Conservation for helping to identify the plant species sampled during the Maunganui Bluff survey.

To all my friends in Palmerston North, who supported me and discussed at length my work. Thanks for keeping me focused.

To my friends and colleagues at Northland Polytechnic, especially Alastair Wells for taking work pressures off me during final write up, thanks for the patience and encouragement. Special appreciation to Northland Polytechnic and the ASB grant committee for financial support throughout all my University studies.

Finally, very special thanks to my partner, Dot, for her encouragement and support. Without you, this work would not have been completed.

Structure of Thesis

This thesis is based on the premise that studying the effects of environmental change at the species level is too complex to be able to make predictions about changes to ecosystem structure and function both spatially and temporally. One methodology to reduce complexity is to group species into Plant Functional Types (PFTs).

The thesis is divided into two distinct sections. Chapter One (General Introduction) introduces the rationale behind using PFTs for biodiversity studies and identification of keystone species/groups with regard to monitoring environmental change. Chapters two to four (Literature Review) attempt to explain the major biotic and abiotic influences on, or as a consequence of, environmental change: CO₂, temperature, nutrient use efficiency, herbivory and fire. Chapter two deals specifically with the general theory of each of these abiotic influences in relationship to environmental change. Chapter three focuses on the effects of environmental change on the biota of New Zealand and looks at the effects of expected scenarios on the diversity of New Zealand's natural broadleaf – podocarp forests. Chapter four explores how functional groups evolved and examines the current theories regarding the use of functional groups to characterize changes in biodiversity and to identify keystone species or groups containing a keystone identity.

The second section discusses the application of the functional group theory to an altitudinal gradient analysis. Chapter five gives an overview of the survey site and details both history and biogeographical information. Chapter six is devoted to

the methodology and analysis of the biotic and abiotic survey of the site. This includes the results of the construction of PFTs, the diversity analysis (Shannon H' and evenness), ordination (Regression and Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DECORANA)) and group analysis (Keystoneness) using the methodology of Dufrene & Legendre (1998). The results are discussed in chapter 6 and the major findings are summarised in Chapter seven (Conclusions).

The thesis is presented at three levels in relation to environmental change, species, PFTs and ecosystems. There is some repetition of environmental data, especially climate change, to set the scene for each of these chapters. This is done to remind the reader that environmental change has a differing effect on vegetation, depending on the hierarchial level the vegetation is studied at.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	<i>i</i>
Acknowledgements	<i>iv</i>
Structure of Thesis.....	<i>v</i>
Table of Contents.....	<i>vii</i>
List of Tables	<i>ix</i>
List of Figures.....	<i>xi</i>
Chapter 1 General Introduction.....	<i>1</i>
Chapter 2 Literature Review – Theory: environmental change.....	<i>7</i>
2.1 Introduction.....	<i>7</i>
2.2 CO ₂	<i>8</i>
2.3 Temperature	<i>13</i>
2.3.1 Effects of enhanced CO ₂ and temperature on plant processes.....	<i>14</i>
2.4 Nutrient Use Efficiency	<i>16</i>
2.5 Herbivory.....	<i>20</i>
2.5.1 The positive or negative response to Herbivory	<i>20</i>
2.5.2 Scales of plant species richness	<i>21</i>
2.6 Fire	<i>25</i>
Chapter 3 Literature Review – New Zealand Biota.....	<i>26</i>
3.1 Climate Change and the New Zealand Biota.....	<i>26</i>
3.1.1 Climate change and New Zealand's natural forests	<i>28</i>
3.1.2 Climate change and soil organic matter – New Zealand ecosystems	<i>32</i>
3.1.3 Consequences of climate change on New Zealand Plant Biota.....	<i>33</i>
3.1.4 Climatic effects - Temperature change	<i>34</i>
3.1.5 Climatic effects - Solar Radiation change	<i>34</i>
3.1.6 Climatic effects - Rainfall change.....	<i>35</i>
Chapter 4 Literature Review – Functional Groups.....	<i>37</i>
4.1 Plant Functional Groups – an introduction	<i>37</i>
4.2 The ecosystem concept and biodiversity.....	<i>55</i>
4.3 Biodiversity, ecosystem processes and the redundancy hypothesis.....	<i>58</i>
4.4 Biodiversity – productivity and stability	<i>61</i>
Chapter 5 Maunganui Bluff – Vegetation and History	<i>62</i>
5.1 Introduction.....	<i>62</i>

5.2 The Bluff Reserve.....	63
5.3 Historical Background.....	65
5.4 The Effect Of Environment.....	65
5.5 Vegetation - General.....	68
5.5.1 Forest.....	68
5.5.2 The coastal slope vegetation.....	70
5.5.3 Cliff vegetation.....	71
5.5.4 Grasslands	71
5.5.5 Site Description	72
5.5.6 Soil	75
Chapter 6 Maunganui Bluff Survey - Methods and Analysis.....	79
6.1 Introduction.....	79
6.2 Site survey.....	80
6.2.1 Species survey.....	80
6.2.2 Site selection and randomness	80
6.2.3 Environmental data	82
6.3 Functional Groups.....	87
6.3.1 PFT Construction	89
6.4 Statistical techniques	95
6.4.1 Introduction to the analysis	95
6.4.2 Diversity indices	96
6.4.3 Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA) – a multiple scaling technique	97
6.4.5 Dufrene and Legendre indicator analysis.....	99
6.5 Results and Discussion	99
6.5.1 Diversity.....	99
6.5.2 Vegetation dynamics.....	102
6.5.3 Group analysis – assemblage and keystone.....	110
Conclusions.....	116
References.....	125
Appendix 1 – Statistical Packages	150
Dufrene and Legendre's Method – PC-ORD	150
Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA, DECORANA)	151
Cluster Analysis	152
Appendix II – Photographs.....	154

List of Tables

Table 4.1	A comparison between Boutin and Keddy's (1993) guild traits and the Grime's (1979) life forms.	43
Table 4.2	Comparison between biodiversity and PFT composition, structure and function (Lee 1998; after Noss1990).	58
Table 5.1	Geophysical and major (tree/shrub) vegetation overview of Maunganui Bluff's South slope.	77
Table 5.2	Diagnostic criteria for recognising vegetation structural classes (adapted from Landcare 1995).	78
Table 6.1	Soil analysis for all eleven Maunganui Bluff sampled sites.	84
Table 6.2.	Mean seasonal soil temperatures at each site.	84
Table 6.3	Rates of change of temperature between sites over the seasons.	85
Table 6.4	Percentage of total radiation (Watts m ⁻²) outside the canopy measured inside the canopy.	85
Table 6.5	Sample of final table in Microsoft Access from which Functional Groups were generated (Lee 1998).	92
Table 6.6	Functional groups sorted by site and pattern into a reduced number of groups based on similarity of assemblage and presence of individual species (Lee 1998).	93
Table 6.7	Definitions of keys for PFTs derived from Microsoft Access. The table was derived after the first sort – all groups having nil sampled members were excluded.	94
Table 6.8	Evenness index for each site (species and PFT) based on Shannon H'.	101
Table 6.9	Cross-correlation of environmental factors to determine where substitution is occurring and to determine which factors are significant.	106
Table 6.10	Cross-correlation of Causes and Effects to determine major significance.	107
Table 6.11	Eigenvalues of the three axes (No downweighting and no rescaling) in the relationship analysis (PCORD, DCA ordination).	109

Table 6.12	Indicator values for PFTs (* proportion of randomized trials with indicator value equal to or exceeding the observed indicator value).	112
Table 6.13	Structural classes of each site based on Landcare's rankings and the general assessment by Forester (1997). The table is also a map of the positions of PFTs across all sites.	115

List of Figures

Fig 4.1	A hierarchical model of the linkages from studying species to whole ecosystem studies using functional groups (Lee 1998).	40
Fig 4.2	A hierachial view of complexity in plants and the level of integration for PFTs.	43
Fig 4.3	Attribute signature of a coastal salt marsh shrub.	47
Fig 4.4	A model to compare responses of C3 grasses with C3 trees or shrubs based on the addition of canopy architecture.	50
Fig 4.5	Hypothetical experiment of leaf litter quality - a comparative study between shade tolerant and shade intolerant groups. Will the inclusion of Level 3 complexity provide groups with a distinctly different response to groups constructed at level 2 (Lee 1998)?	50
Fig 5.1	Map of Maunganui Bluff Reserve. The boundary of the estate is marked in heavy lines. The area of study has been inserted by the author and is the thin freeform solid line stretching from Aranga Beach to the Trig station (Lee 1998)	73
Fig 6.1	A general model for survival based on temperature which influences light, nutrient and water resource capture (Lee 1998; after Woodward & Kelly 1997).	83
Fig 6.2	Hierarchical model showing the selection criteria for generating the 48 functional groups (Lee 1998)	90
Fig 6.3	Graph of evenness indices (species vs. PFT) across the altitudinal gradient at each sampled site.	101
Fig 6.4	Detrended Component Anaysis of the PFTs (Effects) and the full environmental dataset (causes)	109
Fig 6.5	Cluster analysis of PFTs based on vegetation classes. Dendrogram produced with PCORD.	111

Chapter 1 General Introduction

Vegetation all over the Earth is being subjected to massive disturbance in the form of wholesale exchanges of species between regions, introduction of alien predators and pathogens (Vitousek et al. 1997), over harvesting, habitat destruction, pollution, and in the future, climate change (Sutherst et al. 1996; Forseth 1997). Changes in land use in New Zealand over the past 100 years have created extensive areas of agricultural lands and early successional patches at the expense of late successional and climax communities (farm or scrub land instead of broadleaf – podocarp forest). Along with these changes are major reductions in population sizes and the extinction of species that depend upon the habitats which are being degraded or destroyed. The consequences of these changes to remaining remnants in New Zealand are not well known. Loss of species that may accompany forest destruction is irretrievable. Habitat loss can only be recovered over long periods of time.

Worldwide, ecologists have been concentrating on estimating the extent of species loss, identifying major causes of the losses, and developing ways to reduce the rate of species extinction. Conservation ecology in a myriad of forms has become a specialist branch of ecology. Habitat destruction has been highlighted as the most important cause of the estimated extinction of species since the advent of human change from hunter / gatherer to agriculturist. Less attention, however, has been paid to the consequences of the loss of species in terms of functional processes.

Research efforts are now being directed towards understanding species

contributions to ecosystem functioning and to how the alteration of the species composition of ecosystems affects the maintenance of ecosystem processes (Woodward 1994). Specifically, how and to what degree do the key functional processes of ecosystems depend upon the richness of species in them, and how will these properties be changed by the losses of some of their component species (Berendse 1994; McNaughton 1994)?

The complex topic of the role of biological diversity in ecosystem functioning can be addressed in many ways and at population, community, and ecosystem levels. An important result of the past three decades of theoretical and empirical research into the causes of patterns in species richness is the demonstration that these patterns are the products of complex interacting forces that vary in relative importance in both time and space (Solbrig 1991). Similarly, the consequences of biodiversity for system-level processes are certain to be equally complex; their elucidation will require analysis of many factors operating at many spatial and temporal scales.

Lawton and Brown (1993) consider that *ecosystem processes*, *behaviour of ecological systems*, and *ecosystem functioning* are equivalent terms. Ecosystems process materials and energy. The productivity and stability of the process is likely to be influenced by biodiversity. *Biodiversity* means the number of species (species richness) and also the richness of the evolutionary lineage, the variety of functional groups of plants, and the variety of types of ecological communities. The number of species in ecological communities usually exceeds the number of major ecosystem processes. Since many species are involved in each process, groups of species that participate in a particular process are termed a *functional*

group (Smith et al. 1993; Gitay & Noble 1997; Shugart 1997). A given species may participate in more than one ecosystem process and so be a member of more than one functional group.

When more than one species participates in a process there is *functional redundancy* (Walker 1997). This term does not imply that all species within a functional group can be substituted for one another with respect to maintaining that process. Because species differ in the quality and quantity of their contribution to a particular process, the loss of one species may not be compensated for by complementary performance of other species within the group (Orians 1997). However, if there is little change in the inputs or outputs of the process, then the functional system will be maintained within the ecosystem. Lawton and Brown (1996), while not disagreeing with the concept of redundancy and keystoneity, believe that in the plant kingdom the dominant species in a community is usually the keystone species. Mooney (1997) lists only the dominant competitor as a keystone species among a list of animal keystone species. Lawton and Brown (1996) also note that it is still very unclear how keystone species may be identified a priori, as the dynamics of ecosystem functions change depending on community composition.

Functional redundancy makes sense only when referenced to some particular site and process. For example, because many plants on a site carry out photosynthesis using the same mechanism, there may be high functional redundancy in a community with respect to photosynthesis and primary production. However, these plants will produce highly distinctive litter (Hobbie 1992), and utilise nutrients from different parts of the soil profile. In regard to these processes,

different species in the photosynthesis functional group may exert different influences on other ecosystem processes. Therefore, a species may be a *major actor* with respect to one process but a *minor actor* with respect to another. Walker (1995) refers to these interactions as *drivers* and *passengers*.

If the loss of a species results in a large effect on some functional property of an ecosystem, that species may be called a *keystone species* (Bond 1993). Keystone species are likely to be found in functional groups with few species or in functional groups having a species whose performance cannot be filled by other group members (i.e. no *passenger* species able to take over the role of system *driver*). Naeem et al. (1998) call this point the critical minimum species richness (CMSR). An example may be the role of nitrogen-fixing plants in plant communities. If nitrogen-fixing plants are removed, there may be some complementary redundancy by such plants as *Causarina spp.* However, quantity of nitrogen production will decline, thus influencing the dynamics of the system. Ecologists have looked for and identified keystone species by their effects on the species richness and composition of the community that they inhabit.

In regard to primary processes a keystone species may or may not significantly change the species composition of its community. Species within a functional group differ in the magnitude of their contributions, in terms of both their quantity and their quality. Species within a functional group may also differ in where they carry out these processes. For example, one organism might fix nitrogen on a branch high in the canopy of a forest, another in the soil. Where the fixation occurs, influences which other organisms have access to that nitrogen, and how the nitrogen moves through the ecosystem.

With respect to any particular process, the number of functional groups is termed the diversity (richness) of functional groups. The term diversity (richness) within functional groups refers to the number of taxa included in the group. Richness can therefore mean a simple listing of taxa, such as species or aggregates of them.

Diversity is also applied to lists that are weighted by some meaningful ecological criteria such as plant morphology and physiology. In regard to processes this can be a functional group's response to external environmental factors that influence functional processes such as nutrient use efficiency, water use efficiency and productivity.

Analysing the functional significance of biodiversity is a difficult task because the richness of species in most communities is unknown and ecological roles of many described species are poorly understood. In addition, no accepted classifications of functional groups already exist, and no single classification can aggregate organisms appropriately for more than one major ecosystem process or for more than one instance in both a temporal and spatial sense. In other words, functional groups can only be constructed from available information for a set purpose. The groups formed, or the methodology (rules) of construction may not be applicable at any other location or point in time.

The Maunganui Bluff survey and analysis seeks to determine the value of PFTs constructed of non – numerical data in the form of traits, using a database and queries to construct the groupings, in a diversity study. Most studies, published in the Journals, use numeric data and construct the groups using Twinspan (Dufrene and Legendre 1997). These constructed groups were then used to test the rule

concerning convergence of PFTs over a sampled altitudinal gradient, determination of the presence of groups in space and time in relation to the environmental data collected; altitude, light, soil temperature, soil nutrient levels and soil moisture. The same PFTs were analysed to determine group assemblages over all the sites and to place a value of the presence of any group occurrence at a site in relation to occurrences at all sites. The methodology used was devised by Dufrene and Legendre (1997) and available in the statistical package PCORD (McCune and Mefford 1997).